



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss the reinsetion of the word comprising into claim 1 where neded by examiner's amendment, because applicant's November 21<sup>st</sup> 2005 amendment and response inadvertently removed the comprising which is needed so that claim 1 makes grammatical sense. Additionally claim 12 was discussed in order to correct the dependency. Since a dependent claim cannot depend from a canceled claim. Claim 16 was also discussed since this claim was previously canceled with the April 8<sup>th</sup> 2005 response, and incorrectly idetified in the November 21<sup>st</sup> 2005 response as an "original" claim as opposed to its correct status as a canceled claim. The examiner was thanked for her help, and given permission to amend claims 1 and 12, and 16 by examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.